

Integral equations for the four-body problem

Christophe Mora¹, Yvan Castin², Ludovic Pricoupenko³

Laboratoires ¹Pierre Aigrain and ²Kastler Brossel, École Normale Supérieure and CNRS, UPMC¹ and Paris 7 Diderot², 24 rue Lhomond, 75231 Paris, France

³Laboratoire de Physique Théorique de la Matière Condensée, UPMC and CNRS, 4 place Jussieu, 75005 Paris, France

(Dated: January 14, 2011)

We consider the four-boson and 3+1 fermionic problems with a model Hamiltonian which encapsulates the mechanism of the Feshbach resonance involving the coherent coupling of two atoms in the open channel and a molecule in the closed channel. The model includes also the pair-wise direct interaction between atoms in the open channel and in the bosonic case, the direct molecule-molecule interaction in the closed channel. Interactions are modeled by separable potentials which makes it possible to reduce the four-body problem to the study of a single integral equation. We take advantage of the rotational symmetry and parity invariance of the Hamiltonian to reduce the general eigenvalue equation in each angular momentum sector to an integral equation for functions of three real variables only. A first application of this formalism in the zero-range limit is given elsewhere [Y. Castin, C. Mora, L. Pricoupenko, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 223201 (2010)].

Résumé : Équations intégrales pour le problème à quatre corps

Nous considérons les problèmes à quatre bosons et à 3+1 fermions en utilisant un Hamiltonien modèle incluant le mécanisme de la résonance de Feshbach avec un couplage cohérent entre deux atomes dans la voie ouverte et une molécule dans la voie fermée. Le modèle comprend aussi une interaction directe entre atomes dans la voie ouverte, et dans le cas bosonique, l'interaction entre deux molécules de la voie fermée. Les interactions sont modélisées par des potentiels séparables, ce qui permet de ramener le problème à quatre corps à la résolution d'une équation intégrale unique. L'invariance par rotation et par parité du Hamiltonien permet de réduire l'équation aux valeurs propres générale dans chaque secteur de moment cinétique fixé à une équation intégrale portant sur des fonctions de trois variables réelles seulement. Une première application de ces considérations a déjà été mise en œuvre dans [Y. Castin, C. Mora, L. Pricoupenko, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 223201 (2010)].

I. INTRODUCTION

The few-body problem is the object of a renewed interest [1], considering the possibility to study experimentally this problem in a resonant regime with cold atoms close to a Feshbach resonance [2]. In this resonant regime, the s -wave scattering length a describing the interaction between the particles is much larger (in absolute value) than the range b of the interaction potential, which may be estimated by the van der Waals length of a few nanometers [3].

The three-body resonant problem, initiated in particular in Refs. [4–6], has been the subject of numerous studies [1]. Two distinct situations have been identified, depending on the quantum statistics and the mass ratios of the particles. In the first case, there exists a zero-range limit, where both the true range b and the effective range r_e of the interaction tend to zero. The interactions may then be replaced by contact conditions on the wavefunction, which define the so-called Bethe-Peierls [7, 8] or zero-range model. The interaction is then described by a as the single parameter. The paradigm of this first case is three spin-1/2 fermions with equal masses for the two spin states. In the second case, the *Efimovian* case, the zero-range limit does not exist and is replaced by a limit cycle. The minimal model is then a modified zero-range model supplemented with three-body contact conditions involving the three-body parameter R_t that depends on the microscopic details of the interaction. Then the interaction is described by only two parameters: a and R_t . The archetype of the second case is three indistinguishable bosons. The second case leads to the Efimov effect, that is for $|a| = \infty$ there is an infinite number of weakly bound trimers with an accumulation point in the spectrum at zero energy.

In reality, the physics of the Feshbach resonance is not exhausted by the zero-range model [3]. In particular, a Feshbach resonance involves two channels in the interaction between two low-energy atoms, one channel being open and the other one being closed due to energy conservation. In the specific case of ultra-narrow Feshbach resonances, where the effective range r_e is negative and much larger in absolute value than the true range b , r_e becomes an important parameter. It may be taken into account by modified Bethe-Peierls contact conditions [9–11], the so-called effective range approach, or more straightforwardly by use of a two-channel model [12–20]. In the general case, Feshbach resonances are richer, as *e.g.* a form or potential resonance may take place in the open channel, in which case the background scattering length a_{bg} is large and the modified Bethe-Peierls conditions [9–11] may not reproduce correctly the momentum dependence of the two-body scattering amplitude at low energy [41] [20–23]. Using a two-

channel model, with the description of the direct atomic interaction in the open channel by a separable potential, is then the simplest way to have a reasonably accurate description of the atomic physics behind the Feshbach resonance, while keeping the simplicity of the integral equations resulting from zero-range models.

The four-body problem is now under active investigation. The situation is more open, since no analytical solution is available (contrary to the three-body case). In the absence of Efimov effect, the plain Bethe-Peierls model may be used for broad Feshbach resonances [24, 25]. In principle exact numerical calculation of the resulting integral equation may be done, and was indeed performed to determine a dimer-dimer scattering length in Ref. [25]. Also efficient numerical methods have been used to solve the case of four or more harmonically trapped two-component fermions [26–28]. In the Efimovian case, for four bosons, finite range real space models, specified by an interaction potential $V(r_{ij})$, have been recently used in the context of cold atoms but have been solved only in an approximate way [29]. As a consequence, the tetramer states predicted in [29] that have (negative) energies larger than the trimer ground state energy are actually resonances rather than genuine stationary states. In the nuclear physics context, however, exact numerical solutions of the four-body problem with real space interaction potentials $V(r_{ij})$ can be obtained thanks to the reformulation in terms of momentum-space Faddeev-Yakubovsky integral equations [30, 31]. A numerical solution of a low-energy effective theory based on this integral formulation was used in Ref. [32] to predict universal properties of the ground four-boson state of that theory. The Faddeev-Yakubovsky integral formulation may also be used to solve the four-body problem with a separable potential interaction and to calculate the energy width of the resonances corresponding to the tetramers of [29], as recently done in [33]. A limitation of the present Faddeev-Yakubovsky formulation seems to be, however, that it does not encapsulate the atomic physics of the Feshbach resonance.

To answer as quantitatively as possible current questions on the four-body problem, we use in this paper a four-parameter two-channel model to derive without approximation a simple integral equation in momentum space for the four-body problem, involving an unknown function of two atomic momenta only. No solution of the equation is presented here, but we presented elsewhere a first application of this formalism in the limiting case of a zero-range interaction [34] and we expect that other applications will come. In this paper, we take as specific examples first the case of four spinless bosons, in section II, and then the so-called $3 + 1$ fermionic problem of three same spin state fermions of mass m interacting with a distinguishable particle of mass M , in section III. The reduction of the integral equation thanks to the rotational symmetry of the Hamiltonian is performed in section IV, leading to unknown functions of three real variables only. We conclude in section V.

II. THE FOUR-BOSON PROBLEM

A. Model Hamiltonian and two-body scattering amplitude

Our model is a two-channel model of a magnetic Feshbach resonance [12, 13]. This means that the atoms may actually exist in two different forms in the model, either as atoms in the open channel, treated as elementary bosons, or as molecules in the closed channel, the so-called *closed channel* molecules. Since these molecules have a size of the order of the van der Waals length b , usually smaller than the mean distance between them, they are also treated as elementary bosons. There exists a short-range coupling between the two channels, due in particular to the existence of hyperfine atomic degrees of freedom, so that two atoms may coherently be converted to a closed-channel molecule, and *vice versa*. This coherent interconversion leads to an effective interaction between the atoms, that may be made resonant by tuning with a magnetic field the energy E_{mol} of a closed-channel molecule counted with respect to the dissociation limit of the open channel potential. Even in the absence of this interconversion, atoms in the open channel can interact *via* the van der Waals potential, and this so-called background interaction is sometimes also resonant and cannot be neglected.

Our model Hamiltonian thus involves two coupled bosonic fields, one for the open channel atoms and one for the closed-channel molecules. For simplicity, we assume that there is no trapping potential and we perform calculations directly in momentum space without any quantization volume (the real space version of the Hamiltonian was written in Ref. [35]). The creation $a_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger$ and annihilation $a_{\mathbf{k}}$ operators of one atom of wave vector $\mathbf{k}$, and the creation $b_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger$ and annihilation $b_{\mathbf{k}}$ operators of one closed channel molecule of center-of-mass wave vector $\mathbf{k}$ then obey the canonical commutation relations

$$[a_{\mathbf{k}}, a_{\mathbf{k}'}^\dagger] = [b_{\mathbf{k}}, b_{\mathbf{k}'}^\dagger] = (2\pi)^3 \delta(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}'). \quad (1)$$

The other commutators are zero, in particular $a_{\mathbf{k}}$ and $a_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger$ commute with $b_{\mathbf{k}}$ and $b_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger$. The Hamiltonian may be split in four terms,

$$H = H_{\text{at}} + H_{\text{mol}} + H_{\text{open}} + H_{\text{at-mol}}. \quad (2)$$

The first two terms contain the kinetic energy (and the internal energy) of non-interacting atoms and molecules,

$$H_{\text{at}} = \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} E_{\mathbf{k}} a_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{k}} \quad (3)$$

$$H_{\text{mol}} = \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} (E_{\text{mol}} + \frac{1}{2}E_{\mathbf{k}}) b_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger b_{\mathbf{k}}. \quad (4)$$

We have introduced the convenient notation for the kinetic energy of an atom of wave vector $\mathbf{k}$,

$$E_{\mathbf{k}} = \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2m}, \quad (5)$$

and we shall use in what follows the parity invariance $E_{\mathbf{k}} = E_{-\mathbf{k}}$.

The third term in Eq. (2) describes the direct interaction between atoms in the open channel. In principle, one should describe this interaction using true binary potentials $V(r_{ij})$ with a $1/r_{ij}^6$ Van der Waals tail [36–38]. This however precludes the derivation of a simple integral equation for the four-body problem. We thus rather take the convenient form of a separable potential, see e.g. [39]:

$$H_{\text{open}} = \frac{g_0}{2} \int \frac{d^3k_1}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d^3k_2}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d^3k_3}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d^3k_4}{(2\pi)^3} \chi\left(\frac{\mathbf{k}_3 - \mathbf{k}_4}{2}\right) \chi\left(\frac{\mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{k}_1}{2}\right) (2\pi)^3 \delta(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{k}_3 - \mathbf{k}_4) a_{\mathbf{k}_3}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{k}_4}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{k}_2} a_{\mathbf{k}_1}. \quad (6)$$

It involves a bare coupling constant g_0 and a cut-off function χ making the theory well defined. In practice, we take as in Ref. [14, 18] a real Gaussian cut-off function, which is both a smooth and rapidly decreasing function of k ,

$$\chi(\mathbf{k}) = e^{-k^2 b^2/2}, \quad (7)$$

where b is of the order of the van der Waals length. The Gaussian choice is of course arbitrary, and may be replaced by any rapidly decreasing function of k . An important point is that the separable potential is able to capture the essential features of the Feshbach resonance, such as the dependance of the scattering length with the magnetic field (12), or the structure (18) of the effective range.

The last term in Eq. (2) describes the coherent interconversion of pairs of atoms into closed-channel molecules, responsible for the Feshbach resonance:

$$H_{\text{at-mol}} = \Lambda \int \frac{d^3k_1}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d^3k_2}{(2\pi)^3} \left[\chi\left(\frac{\mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{k}_1}{2}\right) b_{\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{k}_2} a_{\mathbf{k}_1} + \chi\left(\frac{\mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{k}_1}{2}\right) a_{\mathbf{k}_1}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{k}_2}^\dagger b_{\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2} \right] \quad (8)$$

It involves a coupling amplitude Λ between the two channels, taken to be real, and (for simplicity) the same cut-off function χ of the relative momentum of two bosons, implementing in momentum space the fact that, in real space, the conversion process is non-local over a radius $\approx b$ only, that is two atoms are converted to a closed-channel molecule with an appreciable probability amplitude only if their interatomic distance is of the order of b or less. This cut-off function is actually required to make $H_{\text{at-mol}}$ mathematically sound. Note that each of the four terms of the Hamiltonian conserves the total momentum and preserves Galilean invariance, as it should be.

It appears that our model Hamiltonian depends on four bare parameters b , g_0 , E_{mol} and Λ . Apart from the true range b , the other three parameters are more physically expressed in terms of the scattering length a , the background scattering length a_{bg} and the always positive Feshbach length R_* [10]. The link is performed thanks to the solution of the two-atom scattering problem, that was detailed in [35] for two opposite spin fermions, and that is adapted to the present bosonic case by replacing Λ^2 in the result of [35] by $2\Lambda^2$. The resulting expression of the two-body T matrix is then

$$\langle \mathbf{k}_f | T(E + i0^+) | \mathbf{k}_i \rangle = -\frac{4\pi\hbar^2}{m} \chi(\mathbf{k}_f) \chi(\mathbf{k}_i) f(E + i0^+) \quad (9)$$

where $\mathbf{k}_i$ and $\mathbf{k}_f$ are the wave vectors of the incoming wave and outgoing wave in the center of mass frame, E is the energy in that frame and we have defined the function of energy $f(E + i0^+)$ such that:

$$\frac{-m}{4\pi\hbar^2 f(E + i0^+)} = \left[g_0 + \frac{2\Lambda^2}{E - E_{\text{mol}}} \right]^{-1} - \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{\chi^2(\mathbf{k})}{E + i0^+ - 2E_{\mathbf{k}}}. \quad (10)$$

The calculation in [35] was done on shell, that is for $k_i^2 = k_f^2 = mE/\hbar^2$, and $E \geq 0$. In this case, the function $f(E)$ is simply related to the s -wave scattering amplitude f_k at that energy, with $k = (mE)^{1/2}/\hbar$, by the relation

$$f_k = \chi(\mathbf{k}_f) \chi(\mathbf{k}_i) f(E + i0^+). \quad (11)$$

By an analytic continuation argument, Eq. (9) actually also holds off shell, for all values of $\mathbf{k}_i$, $\mathbf{k}_f$ and E . Assuming that E_{mol} is an affine function of the magnetic field B , a good approximation if B remains close to the Feshbach resonance location B_0 , one extracts from Eq. (9) the standard formula for the magnetic field dependence of the scattering length $a \equiv \lim_{k \rightarrow 0} -f_k$,

$$a = a_{\text{bg}} \left[1 - \frac{\Delta B}{B - B_0} \right], \quad (12)$$

where a_{bg} is the background scattering length and ΔB is the width of the Feshbach resonance. The Feshbach length is connected to this width by

$$R_* = \frac{\hbar^2}{ma_{\text{bg}}\delta\mu\Delta B}, \quad (13)$$

where $\delta\mu = dE_{\text{mol}}/dB$. The physical parameters of the Feshbach resonance are finally found to be related to the bare ones by

$$\frac{1}{a_{\text{bg}}} = \frac{4\pi\hbar^2/m}{g_0} + \frac{1}{b\sqrt{\pi}} \quad (14)$$

$$\frac{1}{a} = \frac{4\pi\hbar^2/m}{g_0 - 2\Lambda^2/E_{\text{mol}}} + \frac{1}{b\sqrt{\pi}} \quad (15)$$

$$R_* = \frac{g_0^2}{8\pi\Lambda^2 a_{\text{bg}}^2}, \quad (16)$$

which allows a direct connection with the experimental parameters. The expression of the function $f(E)$ of our model for $E \geq 0$, and of its analytic continuation to $E < 0$, is also quite simple in terms of the physical parameters [35],

$$-\frac{e^{k^2 b^2}}{f(E + i0^+)} = -\frac{1}{f_k} = \frac{e^{k^2 b^2}}{a} \left[1 - \left(1 - \frac{a}{a_{\text{bg}}} \right) \frac{k^2}{k^2 - Q^2} \right] - ik \operatorname{erf}(-ikb) + ik \quad (17)$$

where erf is the error function, we have set $k = (mE)^{1/2}/\hbar$ for $E \geq 0$ and $k = i(-mE)^{1/2}/\hbar$ for $E < 0$, and we have introduced Q^2 such that $-1/Q^2 = a_{\text{bg}}R_*(1 - a_{\text{bg}}/a)$. This allows in particular a direct calculation of the effective range r_e of the model [35], defined as $-1/f_k = \frac{1}{a} + ik - \frac{1}{2}k^2 r_e + o(k^2)$ for $k \rightarrow 0$,

$$r_e = -2R_* \left(1 - \frac{a_{\text{bg}}}{a} \right)^2 + \frac{4b}{\sqrt{\pi}} - \frac{2b^2}{a}. \quad (18)$$

Another quantity of interest for what follows is the internal energy E_{mol} of a decoupled closed-channel molecule. It may be expressed in terms of b and of the three physical parameters a , a_{bg} and R_* using Eqs. (14,15,16). For simplicity, we give this expression only at resonance $1/a = 0$:

$$E_{\text{mol}}^{\text{res}} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{mR_*(a_{\text{bg}} - b\sqrt{\pi})}. \quad (19)$$

Since R_* is positive, $E_{\text{mol}}^{\text{res}}$ is negative if and only if $a_{\text{bg}} > b\sqrt{\pi}$.

B. Four-body ansatz and coupled equations

We consider for simplicity a four-body eigenvector of our model Hamiltonian in free space with an eigenenergy E obeying the two conditions

$$E < 0 \quad \text{and} \quad E - 2E_{\text{mol}} < 0. \quad (20)$$

The case of $E > 0$ is a four-atom scattering problem that may be treated along the lines of *e.g.* [18], including in particular an incoming free four-atom state. For the same reason of simplicity, to avoid the treatment of the scattering problem of two closed-channel molecules, we also impose $E - 2E_{\text{mol}} < 0$ [42]. In the two-channel model, the four-body state vector is in general a coherent superposition of a component with four atoms in the open channel, a component

with two atoms in the open channel and one closed-channel molecule, and a component with two closed-channel molecules,

$$|\Psi\rangle = |\psi_{4\text{ at}}\rangle + |\psi_{2\text{ at}+1\text{ mol}}\rangle + |\psi_{2\text{ mol}}\rangle. \quad (21)$$

Restricting without loss of generality to a zero total momentum state, we obtain the ansatz

$$|\psi_{4\text{ at}}\rangle = \int \frac{d^3k_1}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d^3k_2}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d^3k_3}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d^3k_4}{(2\pi)^3} (2\pi)^3 \delta(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{k}_3 + \mathbf{k}_4) A(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3, \mathbf{k}_4) a_{\mathbf{k}_1}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{k}_2}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{k}_3}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{k}_4}^\dagger |0\rangle, \quad (22)$$

$$|\psi_{2\text{ at}+1\text{ mol}}\rangle = \int \frac{d^3k_1}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d^3k_2}{(2\pi)^3} B(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2) b_{-(\mathbf{k}_1+\mathbf{k}_2)}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{k}_1}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{k}_2}^\dagger |0\rangle, \quad (23)$$

$$|\psi_{2\text{ mol}}\rangle = \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} C(\mathbf{k}) b_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger b_{-\mathbf{k}}^\dagger |0\rangle. \quad (24)$$

Thanks to the bosonic symmetry, ensuring that all the creation operators commute, we can impose that the amplitude A is a symmetric function of its four arguments, the amplitude B is a symmetric function of its two arguments, and $C(\mathbf{k})$ is an even function of $\mathbf{k}$.

It remains to calculate $H|\Psi\rangle$ and to project Schrödinger's equation $0 = (H - E)|\Psi\rangle$ on the three relevant subspaces. Projection in the sector with four open channel atoms yields

$$0 = \int \frac{d^3k_1}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d^3k_2}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d^3k_3}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d^3k_4}{(2\pi)^3} (2\pi)^3 \delta(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{k}_3 + \mathbf{k}_4) F_4(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3, \mathbf{k}_4) a_{\mathbf{k}_1}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{k}_2}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{k}_3}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{k}_4}^\dagger |0\rangle \quad (25)$$

with the function

$$F_4(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3, \mathbf{k}_4) = \left[-E + \sum_{n=1}^4 E_{\mathbf{k}_n} \right] A(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3, \mathbf{k}_4) + \chi\left(\frac{\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2}{2}\right) [6g_0 \tilde{B}(\mathbf{k}_3, \mathbf{k}_4) + \Lambda B(\mathbf{k}_3, \mathbf{k}_4)]. \quad (26)$$

The first term in the right-hand side clearly contains the kinetic energies of the four atoms. In the second term, the occurrence of the B amplitude of the ansatz is due to the conversion of a closed-channel molecule into two open channel atoms, as is revealed by the presence of the factor Λ . Finally, the term proportional to g_0 is due to the direct pair-wise interaction between the four atoms in the open channel. Since this interaction is modeled by a separable potential, it involves a function of two momenta only, obtained by contracting the four-boson amplitude A with the cut-off function χ ,

$$\tilde{B}(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2) \equiv \int \frac{d^3k_3}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d^3k_4}{(2\pi)^3} (2\pi)^3 \delta(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{k}_3 + \mathbf{k}_4) \chi\left(\frac{\mathbf{k}_3 - \mathbf{k}_4}{2}\right) A(\mathbf{k}_3, \mathbf{k}_4, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2). \quad (27)$$

We have introduced the notation $\tilde{B}$ to underline the formal similarity of this term in Eq. (26) with the two-channel contribution ΛB . Eqs. (25,26) allow to express the four-boson amplitude A in terms of two-body amplitudes B and $\tilde{B}$. Because of bosonic symmetry, Eq. (25) does not imply that F_4 is identically zero, it only implies that the totally symmetric component of F_4 , symmetrized over the $4!$ permutations σ of the four arguments $\mathbf{k}_{1,2,3,4}$, is zero. This leads to

$$A(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3, \mathbf{k}_4) = \frac{1}{4!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_4} \chi\left(\frac{\mathbf{k}_{\sigma(1)} - \mathbf{k}_{\sigma(2)}}{2}\right) \frac{6g_0 \tilde{B}(\mathbf{k}_{\sigma(3)}, \mathbf{k}_{\sigma(4)}) + \Lambda B(\mathbf{k}_{\sigma(3)}, \mathbf{k}_{\sigma(4)})}{E - \sum_{n=1}^4 E_{\mathbf{k}_n}}. \quad (28)$$

The unknown amplitude A can thus be eliminated in the remaining part of the calculation. Insertion of Eq. (28) in the definition (27) gives

$$\tilde{B}(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2) = \int \frac{d^3k_3}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d^3k_4}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{(2\pi)^3 \delta(\sum_{n=1}^4 \mathbf{k}_n)}{E - \sum_{n=1}^4 E_{\mathbf{k}_n}} \frac{1}{4!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_4} \chi\left(\frac{\mathbf{k}_3 - \mathbf{k}_4}{2}\right) \chi\left(\frac{\mathbf{k}_{\sigma(1)} - \mathbf{k}_{\sigma(2)}}{2}\right) [6g_0 \tilde{B} + \Lambda B](\mathbf{k}_{\sigma(3)}, \mathbf{k}_{\sigma(4)}). \quad (29)$$

Collecting permutations giving identical contributions, up to a relabeling of the integration variables, gives the more explicit form [43]

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{B}(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2) = & \int \frac{d^3k_3}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d^3k_4}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{(2\pi)^3 \delta(\sum_{n=1}^4 \mathbf{k}_n)}{E - \sum_{n=1}^4 E_{\mathbf{k}_n}} \frac{1}{6} \chi\left(\frac{\mathbf{k}_3 - \mathbf{k}_4}{2}\right) \left\{ \chi\left(\frac{\mathbf{k}_3 - \mathbf{k}_4}{2}\right) [6g_0 \tilde{B} + \Lambda B](\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2) \right. \\ & \left. + \chi\left(\frac{\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2}{2}\right) [6g_0 \tilde{B} + \Lambda B](\mathbf{k}_3, \mathbf{k}_4) + 2 \left[\chi\left(\frac{\mathbf{k}_3 - \mathbf{k}_1}{2}\right) [6g_0 \tilde{B} + \Lambda B](\mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_4) + 1 \leftrightarrow 2 \right] \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

This equation is the first important equation of this subsection.

We now project Schrödinger's equation $0 = (H - E)|\Psi\rangle$ in the sector with two open channel atoms and one closed-channel molecule. We obtain

$$0 = \int \frac{d^3 k_1}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d^3 k_2}{(2\pi)^3} F_2(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2) b_{-(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2)}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{k}_1}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{k}_2}^\dagger |0\rangle \quad (31)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} F_2(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2) = & [E_{\mathbf{k}_1} + E_{\mathbf{k}_2} + \frac{1}{2}E_{\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2} + E_{\text{mol}} - E]B(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2) + 12\Lambda\tilde{B}(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2) \\ & + \chi\left(\frac{\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2}{2}\right)[2\Lambda C(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2) + g_0\beta(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2)]. \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

In the first term in the right-hand side of F_2 , we recognize the kinetic energies of two atoms, plus the kinetic and internal energies of a closed-channel molecule of wavevector $-(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2)$. The contributions $\Lambda\tilde{B}$ and ΛC are due to the interchannel coupling, respectively converting a pair of atoms into a closed-channel molecule and *vice versa*. The fact that the same contraction $\tilde{B}$ defined in Eq. (27) appears as in the four-atom sector is due to the choice of the same cut-off function in the separable potential and the open-to-closed-channel coupling. Finally, the term proportional to g_0 in Eq. (32) results from the direct open channel interaction between the two open channel atoms present in $|\psi_{2\text{ at}+1\text{ mol}}\rangle$. This involves the contraction of B with the cut-off function χ , that we call β ,

$$\beta(\mathbf{K}) = \int \frac{d^3 k_1}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d^3 k_2}{(2\pi)^3} (2\pi)^3 \delta(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{K}) \chi\left(\frac{\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2}{2}\right) B(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2). \quad (33)$$

Since F_2 is a symmetric function of $\mathbf{k}_1$ and $\mathbf{k}_2$, Eq. (31) directly imposes $F_2(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2) = 0$.

Finally, projecting Schrödinger's equation $0 = (H - E)|\Psi\rangle$ in the sector with zero open channel atom and two closed-channel molecules gives

$$0 = \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} F_0(\mathbf{k}) b_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger b_{-\mathbf{k}}^\dagger |0\rangle \quad (34)$$

with

$$F_0(\mathbf{k}) = (2E_{\text{mol}} + E_{\mathbf{k}} - E)C(\mathbf{k}) + \Lambda[\beta(\mathbf{k}) + \beta(-\mathbf{k})]. \quad (35)$$

The first term in the right-hand side contains the kinetic and internal energies of the two closed-channel molecules, and the last term originates from the conversion of two atoms into a closed-channel molecule. The direct open channel interaction does not enter here. The fact that the same contracted function β appears as in Eq. (32) is due to the fact that the same cut-off function χ is used in H_{open} and $H_{\text{at-mol}}$. Since $F_0(\mathbf{k})$ is an even function of $\mathbf{k}$, Eq. (34) directly imposes $F_0(\mathbf{k}) = 0$, which allows to eliminate C ,

$$C(\mathbf{k}) = \Lambda \frac{\beta(\mathbf{k}) + \beta(-\mathbf{k})}{E - E_{\mathbf{k}} - 2E_{\text{mol}}}. \quad (36)$$

We used the condition Eq. (20) to ensure that the energy denominator in that expression cannot vanish. Reporting this into the equation $F_2 = 0$ gives the second important equation of this subsection,

$$\begin{aligned} -12\Lambda\tilde{B}(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2) = & [E_{\mathbf{k}_1} + E_{\mathbf{k}_2} + \frac{1}{2}E_{\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2} + E_{\text{mol}} - E]B(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2) \\ & + \chi\left(\frac{\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2}{2}\right) \left[g_0\beta(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2) + 2\Lambda^2 \frac{\beta(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2) + \beta(-\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2)}{E - E_{\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2} - 2E_{\text{mol}}} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

C. A single integral equation

We now show that, remarkably, the rather involved set of equations (30) and (37) can be reduced to a single explicit integral equation on the unknown function $D(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2)$ defined as:

$$\Lambda D(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2) = 6g_0\tilde{B}(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2) + \Lambda B(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2). \quad (38)$$

We note that this function naturally appears in the right-hand side of Eq. (30). The left-hand side of Eq. (30) involves $\tilde{B}$, which is a linear combination of the functions D and B . The only non trivial step is thus to express B as a function of D using Eq. (37). We rewrite Eq. (37) introducing an effective β function,

$$\beta_{\text{eff}}(\mathbf{K}) \equiv \beta(\mathbf{K}) + \frac{2\Lambda^2}{g_0} \frac{\beta(\mathbf{K}) + \beta(-\mathbf{K})}{E - E_{\mathbf{K}} - 2E_{\text{mol}}}, \quad (39)$$

and introducing what we call the relative energy for a reason that will become clear in the final result,

$$E_{\text{rel}}(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2) \equiv E - E_{\mathbf{k}_1} - E_{\mathbf{k}_2} - \frac{1}{2}E_{\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2}. \quad (40)$$

Eliminating $\tilde{B}$ in terms of D and B thanks to Eq. (38), we transform Eq. (37) into

$$\frac{D(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2)}{u(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2)} = B(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2) - \frac{g_0^2}{2\Lambda^2} \chi\left(\frac{\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2}{2}\right) \frac{\beta_{\text{eff}}(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2)}{u(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2)} \quad (41)$$

with the notation

$$u(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2) \equiv 1 - \frac{g_0}{2\Lambda^2} [E_{\text{mol}} - E_{\text{rel}}(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2)]. \quad (42)$$

Eq. (41) does not immediately give B in terms of D because of the occurrence of β_{eff} in the right-hand side. Consequently, one has first to express β_{eff} in terms of D . We note that the Hamiltonian H of the system is invariant by parity. We can thus without loss of generality assume that the eigenstate $|\Psi\rangle$ of H that we consider is of well defined parity η , with $\eta = 1$ (even state) or -1 (odd state). Hence, the functions B , $\tilde{B}$ and thus D , β have also the parity η . For example,

$$D(-\mathbf{k}_1, -\mathbf{k}_2) = \eta D(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2) \quad \text{and} \quad \beta(-\mathbf{K}) = \eta \beta(\mathbf{K}). \quad (43)$$

This makes Eq. (39) local in $\mathbf{K}$, expressing $\beta_{\text{eff}}(\mathbf{K})$ as the product of $\beta(\mathbf{K})$ and of a known η -dependent function of $\mathbf{K}$. Since $\beta(\mathbf{K})$ results from the contraction of $B(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2)$ with the cut-off function χ , see Eq. (33), it remains to multiply Eq. (41) by $\delta(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{K})\chi(\frac{\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2}{2})$ and to integrate over $\mathbf{k}_1$ and $\mathbf{k}_2$. In the left-hand side of Eq. (41) the contraction of D/u with χ immediately appears, we call it

$$\Delta(\mathbf{K}) \equiv \int \frac{d^3 k_1}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d^3 k_2}{(2\pi)^3} (2\pi)^3 \delta(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{K}) \chi\left(\frac{\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2}{2}\right) \frac{D(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2)}{u(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2)}. \quad (44)$$

We finally obtain the desired expression β_{eff} in terms of D ,

$$\beta_{\text{eff}}(\mathbf{K}) = v(\mathbf{K}) \Delta(\mathbf{K}) \quad (45)$$

where we have introduced the parity dependent notation

$$v(\mathbf{K}) = \left\{ \left[1 + \frac{(1 + \eta)2\Lambda^2/g_0}{E - E_{\mathbf{K}} - 2E_{\text{mol}}} \right]^{-1} - \frac{g_0^2}{2\Lambda^2} \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{\chi^2(\mathbf{k})}{u(\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{K} - \mathbf{k}, \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{K} + \mathbf{k})} \right\}^{-1}. \quad (46)$$

Eq. (41) thus now gives B explicitly as a functional of D . This, combined with Eq. (38), immediately gives $\tilde{B}$ as a functional of D . The left-hand side of Eq. (30) is then also expressed in terms of D . Since the right-hand side of Eq. (30) is directly a functional of D , Eq. (30) (multiplied for convenience by the factor $6/\Lambda$) reduces to the desired closed integral equation for the unknown function D . Collecting in the right-hand side of that integral equation the two terms involving $D(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2)$, that is one term originating from $\tilde{B}$ and the other term originating from the right-hand side of Eq. (30), we get as a prefactor of $D(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2)$ the function

$$\text{prefactor} = -\frac{1}{g_0} \left(1 - \frac{1}{u(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2)} \right) + \int \frac{d^3 k_3}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d^3 k_4}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{(2\pi)^3 \delta(\sum_{n=1}^4 \mathbf{k}_n)}{E - \sum_{n=1}^4 E_{\mathbf{k}_n}} \chi^2\left(\frac{\mathbf{k}_3 - \mathbf{k}_4}{2}\right). \quad (47)$$

This may be transformed using the explicit expression of the function u , the identity

$$\frac{(2\pi)^3 \delta(\sum_{n=1}^4 \mathbf{k}_n)}{E - \sum_{n=1}^4 E_{\mathbf{k}_n}} = \frac{(2\pi)^3 \delta(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{k}_3 + \mathbf{k}_4)}{E_{\text{rel}}(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2) - 2E_{(\mathbf{k}_4 - \mathbf{k}_3)/2}} \quad (48)$$

and performing the change of variable of unit Jacobian, $\mathbf{k}_{3,4} = \frac{\mathbf{K}}{2} \pm \mathbf{k}$. Remarkably, the prefactor can then be linked to the function f appearing in the two-body T matrix at the energy E_{rel} ,

$$\text{prefactor} = \frac{m}{4\pi\hbar^2 f[E_{\text{rel}}(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2)]}. \quad (49)$$

This justifies the name *relative energy* for E_{rel} . To conclude, we give the final equation for D , which is the main result of this section:

$$0 = \frac{mD(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2)}{4\pi\hbar^2 f[E_{\text{rel}}(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2)]} + \frac{g_0}{2\Lambda^2} \chi\left(\frac{\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2}{2}\right) \frac{v(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2)}{u(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2)} \Delta(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2) + \int \frac{d^3 k_3}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d^3 k_4}{(2\pi)^3} \left\{ \frac{(2\pi)^3 \delta(\sum_{n=1}^4 \mathbf{k}_n)}{E - \sum_{n=1}^4 E_{\mathbf{k}_n}} \right. \\ \left. \chi\left(\frac{\mathbf{k}_3 - \mathbf{k}_4}{2}\right) \left[\chi\left(\frac{\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2}{2}\right) D(\mathbf{k}_3, \mathbf{k}_4) + 2\chi\left(\frac{\mathbf{k}_3 - \mathbf{k}_1}{2}\right) D(\mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_4) + 2\chi\left(\frac{\mathbf{k}_3 - \mathbf{k}_2}{2}\right) D(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_4) \right] \right\}. \quad (50)$$

This has to be solved for a bosonic symmetry of D , $D(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2) = D(\mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_1)$. We note that the second term in the right-hand side of Eq. (50) has no equivalent in zero-range theories and is to our knowledge new.

D. Including closed-channel molecule interaction

In the previous subsections, any direct interaction between closed-channel molecules was neglected. Here we derive a modified closed integral equation for the function $D(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2)$, including the intermolecular s -wave interaction in the form of a separable potential with the previously introduced cut-off function $\chi(\mathbf{k})$ and a bare coupling constant g_0^{mol} . This amounts to adding to the model Hamiltonian Eq. (2) the term

$$H_{2\text{mol}} = \frac{1}{2} g_0^{\text{mol}} \int \frac{d^3 K}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d^3 k'}{(2\pi)^3} \chi(\mathbf{k}) \chi(\mathbf{k}') b_{\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{K}+\mathbf{k}}^\dagger b_{\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{K}-\mathbf{k}}^\dagger b_{\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{K}+\mathbf{k}} b_{\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{K}-\mathbf{k}}. \quad (51)$$

This term $H_{2\text{mol}}$ has a non-zero action only on the component $|\psi_{2\text{mol}}\rangle$ of the four-body state vector $|\Psi\rangle$ in Eq. (21). Projecting Schrödinger's equation in that two closed-channel molecule sector gives Eq. (34) with the function $F_0(\mathbf{K})$ modified as

$$F_0(\mathbf{K}) = (2E_{\text{mol}} + E_{\mathbf{K}} - E)C(\mathbf{K}) + \Lambda [\beta(\mathbf{K}) + \beta(-\mathbf{K})] + g_0^{\text{mol}} \int \frac{d^3 K'}{(2\pi)^3} \chi(\mathbf{K}) \chi(\mathbf{K}') C(\mathbf{K}'). \quad (52)$$

Since F_0 is an even function, Schrödinger's equation requires $F_0(\mathbf{K}) = 0$. This leads to an integral equation of the Lippmann-Schwinger type:

$$C(\mathbf{K}) = C_0(\mathbf{K}) + g_0^{\text{mol}} G_{\text{mol}}^0(\mathbf{K}) \chi(\mathbf{K}) \int \frac{d^3 K'}{(2\pi)^3} \chi(\mathbf{K}') C(\mathbf{K}'), \quad (53)$$

where $C_0(\mathbf{K}) = \Lambda G_{\text{mol}}^0(\mathbf{K}) [\beta(\mathbf{K}) + \beta(-\mathbf{K})]$, and $G_{\text{mol}}^0(\mathbf{K}) = 1/(E_{\text{mol}}^{\text{rel}} - E_{\mathbf{K}})$ is the free Green's function of the two closed-channel molecules at the relative energy $E_{\text{mol}}^{\text{rel}} \equiv E - 2E_{\text{mol}}$. We recall that here $E < 2E_{\text{mol}}$, see Eq. (20). To solve the Lippmann-Schwinger equation (53), one multiplies it by $\chi(\mathbf{K})$ and one integrates over $\mathbf{K}$. A closed equation is then obtained for $\gamma \equiv \int \frac{d^3 K}{(2\pi)^3} \chi(\mathbf{K}) C(\mathbf{K})$. This leads to the expression of $C(\mathbf{K})$ as a functional of $C_0(\mathbf{K})$, and thus of the contracted pair function $\beta(\mathbf{K})$:

$$C(\mathbf{K}) = C_0(\mathbf{K}) + g^{\text{mol}} G_{\text{mol}}^0(\mathbf{K}) \chi(\mathbf{K}) \int \frac{d^3 K'}{(2\pi)^3} \chi(\mathbf{K}') C_0(\mathbf{K}'), \quad (54)$$

where g^{mol} is the effective molecular coupling constant defined by

$$g^{\text{mol}} \equiv \left(\frac{1}{g_0^{\text{mol}}} - \int \frac{d^3 K'}{(2\pi)^3} G_{\text{mol}}^0(\mathbf{K}') \chi^2(\mathbf{K}') \right)^{-1}. \quad (55)$$

Similarly to the previous subsection, the four-body problem at an energy satisfying Eq. (20) can be reduced to an integral equation for the function $D(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2)$ defined in Eq. (38). Remarkably, one finds that the only change to perform to Eq. (50) is the substitution

$$v(\mathbf{K}) \Delta(\mathbf{K}) \rightarrow v(\mathbf{K}) \Delta(\mathbf{K}) + \frac{g^{\text{mol}} \chi(\mathbf{K}) v(\mathbf{K})}{1 + \left[\frac{2(1+\eta)\Lambda^2}{g_0} G_{\text{mol}}^0(\mathbf{K}) \right]^{-1}} \left\{ \frac{-\frac{2\Lambda^2}{g_0^2} \int \frac{d^3 K'}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{\chi(\mathbf{K}') G_{\text{mol}}^0(\mathbf{K}') \Delta(\mathbf{K}')}{R(\mathbf{K}') I(\mathbf{K}')}}{1 + \frac{2(1+\eta)\Lambda^2 g^{\text{mol}}}{g_0} \int \frac{d^3 K'}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{[\chi(\mathbf{K}') G_{\text{mol}}^0(\mathbf{K}')]^2}{R(\mathbf{K}')}} \right\} \quad (56)$$

where we have introduced the functions:

$$R(\mathbf{K}') = 1 + \frac{2(1+\eta)\Lambda^2}{g_0} G_{\text{mol}}^0(\mathbf{K}') - \frac{2\Lambda^2}{g_0^2 I(\mathbf{K}')} \quad \text{and} \quad I(\mathbf{K}') = \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{\chi^2(\mathbf{k})}{u(\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{K}' - \mathbf{k}, \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{K}' + \mathbf{k})}. \quad (57)$$

As expected, the odd states ($\eta = -1$) are not affected by the s -wave closed-channel molecule interaction. For even states ($\eta = 1$), the term added by the substitution is in general non zero. It may significantly affect the integral equation for D , that is even for the low-energy eigenstates $|E| \ll \hbar^2/(mb^2)$, when the following resonance condition is met:

$$\left| 1 + \frac{4\Lambda^2 g^{\text{mol}}}{g_0} \int \frac{d^3 K'}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{[\chi(\mathbf{K}') G_{\text{mol}}^0(\mathbf{K}')]^2}{R(\mathbf{K}')} \right| \ll 1. \quad (58)$$

To obtain Eq. (56), we had to recalculate the function $\beta_{\text{eff}} \equiv \beta(\mathbf{K}) + (2\Lambda/g_0)C(\mathbf{K})$, now including the closed-channel molecule interaction. For this purpose, we used the expression of Δ in terms of β and β_{eff} :

$$\Delta(\mathbf{K}) = \beta(\mathbf{K}) - \beta_{\text{eff}}(\mathbf{K}) \times \frac{g_0^2}{2\Lambda^2} \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{\chi^2(\mathbf{k})}{u(\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{K} - \mathbf{k}, \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{K} + \mathbf{k})} \quad (59)$$

Introducing as in the previous subsection the parity η of the state one can write:

$$\beta_{\text{eff}}(\mathbf{K}) = \left[1 + \frac{2(1+\eta)\Lambda^2 G_{\text{mol}}^0(\mathbf{K})}{g_0} \right] \beta(\mathbf{K}) + \frac{2(1+\eta)\Lambda^2 g^{\text{mol}}}{g_0} G_{\text{mol}}^0(\mathbf{K}) \chi(\mathbf{K}) \int \frac{d^3 K'}{(2\pi)^3} \chi(\mathbf{K}') G_{\text{mol}}^0(\mathbf{K}') \beta(\mathbf{K}'). \quad (60)$$

Simple algebra on this expression and comparison of the result to Eq. (45) finally lead to the prescribed substitution Eq. (56). An interesting extension of this subsection would be to include a direct interaction between the closed-channel molecules and the open-channel atoms, to see if there still exists a closed integral equation for $D(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2)$.

III. THE 3+1 FERMIONIC PROBLEM

We now consider the four-body problem corresponding to the interaction of three same spin state fermions of mass m with an extra, distinguishable particle of mass M , for example of another atomic species. There is no possible s -wave interaction among the fermions, and we assume that their interaction in odd angular momentum waves (in particular the p -wave) is negligible. The fermions thus interact only with the extra particle, either directly in the open channel, or indirectly through the creation of a closed-channel molecule. Since the extra particle is alone, there is no need to specify the statistical nature of that particle, nor of the closed-channel molecule. An important difference with the previous four-body bosonic case is that the subspace with two closed-channel molecules will not be populated, and also that there is no direct open channel interaction in the subspace with two atoms and one closed-channel molecule. All this simplifies the problem.

A. Model Hamiltonian and two-body scattering amplitude

The fermionic annihilation and creation operators are called $c_{\mathbf{k}}$ and $c_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger$, they obey the usual free space anticommutation relations $\{c_{\mathbf{k}}, c_{\mathbf{k}'}^\dagger\} = (2\pi)^3 \delta(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}')$. They are assumed to commute with the extra particle creation operator $a_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger$ and with a closed-channel molecule creation operator $b_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger$, that also commute among themselves. The total Hamiltonian $H = H_{\text{at}} + H_{\text{mol}} + H_{\text{at-mol}} + H_{\text{open}}$ with

$$H_{\text{at}} = \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} \left[E_{\mathbf{k}} c_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger c_{\mathbf{k}} + \alpha E_{\mathbf{k}} a_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{k}} \right] \quad (61)$$

$$H_{\text{mol}} = \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} \left(E_{\text{mol}} + \frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha} E_{\mathbf{k}} \right) b_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger b_{\mathbf{k}} \quad (62)$$

$$H_{\text{at-mol}} = \Lambda \int \frac{d^3 k_1 d^3 k_2}{[(2\pi)^3]^2} \chi(\mathbf{k}_{12}) [b_{\mathbf{k}_1+\mathbf{k}_2}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{k}_1} c_{\mathbf{k}_2} + a_{\mathbf{k}_1}^\dagger c_{\mathbf{k}_2}^\dagger b_{\mathbf{k}_1+\mathbf{k}_2}] \quad (63)$$

$$H_{\text{open}} = g_0 \int \frac{d^3 k_1 d^3 k_2 d^3 k_3 d^3 k_4}{[(2\pi)^3]^4} \chi(\mathbf{k}_{12}) \chi(\mathbf{k}_{43}) (2\pi)^3 \delta(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{k}_3 - \mathbf{k}_4) a_{\mathbf{k}_4}^\dagger c_{\mathbf{k}_3}^\dagger c_{\mathbf{k}_2} a_{\mathbf{k}_1}. \quad (64)$$

We have introduced the free fermion dispersion relation $E_{\mathbf{k}} = \hbar^2 k^2 / (2m)$ and the mass ratio of a fermion to the extra particle:

$$\alpha \equiv \frac{m}{M}. \quad (65)$$

As compared to the bosonic case, we have dropped the factor $1/2$ in front of g_0 in H_{open} since the extra particle is distinguishable from the fermions. More important, to maintain the Galilean invariance, the argument of the cut-off function χ is now the relative wave vector of a fermion (of momentum $\hbar \mathbf{k}_2$) with respect to the extra particle (of momentum $\hbar \mathbf{k}_1$):

$$\mathbf{k}_{12} \equiv \mu \left(\frac{\mathbf{k}_2}{m} - \frac{\mathbf{k}_1}{M} \right) = \frac{\mathbf{k}_2 - \alpha \mathbf{k}_1}{1 + \alpha}, \quad (66)$$

where $\mu = mM/(m + M)$ is the reduced mass. In this notation for the relative wavevector $\mathbf{k}_{12}$, it is important to keep in mind that the first index refers to the extra particle and the second index to a fermion.

The two-body scattering of the extra particle with a fermion is similar to the two-body bosonic problem of subsection II A. In the bosonic formulas Eqs. (14,15,16), one simply has to replace m with 2μ and $2\Lambda^2$ with Λ^2 . The two-body T matrix is now given by

$$\langle \mathbf{k}_f | T(E + i0^+) | \mathbf{k}_i \rangle = -\frac{2\pi\hbar^2}{\mu} \chi(\mathbf{k}_f) \chi(\mathbf{k}_i) f(E + i0^+) \quad (67)$$

where $\mathbf{k}_i$ and $\mathbf{k}_f$ are relative wavevectors and the function f now reads

$$\frac{-\mu}{2\pi\hbar^2 f(E + i0^+)} = \left[g_0 + \frac{\Lambda^2}{E - E_{\text{mol}}} \right]^{-1} - \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{\chi^2(\mathbf{k})}{E + i0^+ - \hbar^2 k^2 / (2\mu)}. \quad (68)$$

The connection of f with the s -wave scattering amplitude f_k on shell is still given by Eq. (11) with now $k = k_i = k_f = (2\mu E)^{1/2}/\hbar$. Also relation Eq. (17) still holds, with $k = (2\mu E)^{1/2}/\hbar$ for $E \geq 0$ and $k = i(-2\mu E)^{1/2}/\hbar$ for $E < 0$.

B. Four-body ansatz and coupled equations

The ansatz for the four-body state is now simplified to $|\Psi\rangle = |\psi_{4\text{at}}\rangle + |\psi_{2\text{at}+1\text{mol}}\rangle$ with

$$|\psi_{4\text{at}}\rangle = \int \frac{d^3 k_1}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d^3 k_2}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d^3 k_3}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d^3 k_4}{(2\pi)^3} (2\pi)^3 \delta(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{k}_3 + \mathbf{k}_4) A(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3, \mathbf{k}_4) a_{\mathbf{k}_1}^\dagger c_{\mathbf{k}_2}^\dagger c_{\mathbf{k}_3}^\dagger c_{\mathbf{k}_4}^\dagger |0\rangle \quad (69)$$

$$|\psi_{2\text{at}+1\text{mol}}\rangle = \int \frac{d^3 k_1}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d^3 k_2}{(2\pi)^3} B(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2) b_{-(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2)}^\dagger c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^\dagger c_{\mathbf{k}_2}^\dagger |0\rangle. \quad (70)$$

Taking advantage of the fermionic symmetry, we impose that A is an antisymmetric function of its last three vectorial arguments, and that B is an antisymmetric function of its two vectorial arguments.

By projecting Schrödinger's equation $0 = (H - E)|\Psi\rangle$ in the four-atom sector, where we impose $E < 0$ so as to avoid, as in the bosonic case, vanishing energy denominators, we obtain

$$0 = \int \frac{d^3 k_1}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d^3 k_2}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d^3 k_3}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d^3 k_4}{(2\pi)^3} (2\pi)^3 \delta(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{k}_3 + \mathbf{k}_4) F_4(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3, \mathbf{k}_4) a_{\mathbf{k}_1}^\dagger c_{\mathbf{k}_2}^\dagger c_{\mathbf{k}_3}^\dagger c_{\mathbf{k}_4}^\dagger |0\rangle \quad (71)$$

with

$$F_4(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3, \mathbf{k}_4) = \left[-E + \alpha E_{\mathbf{k}_1} + \sum_{n=2}^4 E_{\mathbf{k}_n} \right] A(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3, \mathbf{k}_4) + \chi(\mathbf{k}_{12}) [3g_0 \tilde{B}(\mathbf{k}_3, \mathbf{k}_4) + \Lambda B(\mathbf{k}_3, \mathbf{k}_4)]. \quad (72)$$

We have defined $\tilde{B}$ by a contraction of A with the cut-off function χ ,

$$\tilde{B}(\mathbf{k}_3, \mathbf{k}_4) = \int \frac{d^3 k_1 d^3 k_2}{[(2\pi)^3]^2} \chi(\mathbf{k}_{12}) (2\pi)^3 \delta(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{k}_3 + \mathbf{k}_4) A(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3, \mathbf{k}_4). \quad (73)$$

Similarly to the bosonic case, the unknown function in the final integral equation will be a linear combination of $\tilde{B}$ and of B :

$$\Lambda D(\mathbf{k}_3, \mathbf{k}_4) \equiv 3g_0 \tilde{B}(\mathbf{k}_3, \mathbf{k}_4) + \Lambda B(\mathbf{k}_3, \mathbf{k}_4). \quad (74)$$

Since the antisymmetric part of F_4 with respect to its last three vectorial arguments is zero by virtue of Eq. (71), we obtain A as a function of D :

$$A(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3, \mathbf{k}_4) = \frac{\Lambda/3}{E - (\alpha E_{\mathbf{k}_1} + \sum_{n=2}^4 E_{\mathbf{k}_n})} [\chi(\mathbf{k}_{12})D(\mathbf{k}_3, \mathbf{k}_4) - \chi(\mathbf{k}_{13})D(\mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_4) + \chi(\mathbf{k}_{14})D(\mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3)]. \quad (75)$$

This we insert in the definition of $\tilde{B}$. After the renumbering $1234 \rightarrow 3412$ in Eq. (75), the extra particle now having the index 3, we also obtain $\tilde{B}$ as a function of D :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{3}{\Lambda} \tilde{B}(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2) = & \int \frac{d^3 k_3 d^3 k_4}{[(2\pi)^3]^2} \frac{(2\pi)^3 \delta(\sum_{n=1}^4 \mathbf{k}_n)}{E - (\alpha E_{\mathbf{k}_3} + \sum_{n \neq 3} E_{\mathbf{k}_n})} \chi(\mathbf{k}_{34}) \\ & \times [\chi(\mathbf{k}_{34})D(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2) - \chi(\mathbf{k}_{31})D(\mathbf{k}_4, \mathbf{k}_2) + \chi(\mathbf{k}_{32})D(\mathbf{k}_4, \mathbf{k}_1)]. \end{aligned} \quad (76)$$

By projecting $0 = (H - E)|\Psi\rangle$ in the subspace with two fermions and one closed-channel molecule, we obtain

$$0 = \int \frac{d^3 k_1}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d^3 k_2}{(2\pi)^3} F_2(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2) b_{-(\mathbf{k}_1+\mathbf{k}_2)}^\dagger c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^\dagger c_{\mathbf{k}_2}^\dagger |0\rangle \quad (77)$$

with

$$F_2(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2) = \left(-E + E_{\mathbf{k}_1} + E_{\mathbf{k}_2} + \frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha} E_{\mathbf{k}_1+\mathbf{k}_2} + E_{\text{mol}} \right) B(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2) + 3\Lambda \tilde{B}(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2). \quad (78)$$

Since F_2 is an antisymmetric function, Schrödinger's equation directly imposes $F_2 = 0$. As in the bosonic case, we then introduce the relative energy

$$E_{\text{rel}}(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2) \equiv E - \left(E_{\mathbf{k}_1} + E_{\mathbf{k}_2} + \frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha} E_{\mathbf{k}_1+\mathbf{k}_2} \right), \quad (79)$$

and the function $u(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2) \equiv 1 - (g_0/\Lambda^2)[E_{\text{mol}} - E_{\text{rel}}(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2)]$. Then we obtain a second expression of $\tilde{B}$ as a function of D :

$$\frac{3}{\Lambda} \tilde{B}(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2) = g_0^{-1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{u(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2)} \right) D(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2). \quad (80)$$

C. A single integral equation

To obtain the desired integral equation on D , it remains to eliminate $\tilde{B}$ in between Eq. (76) and Eq. (80). Diagonal and non-diagonal contributions appear. In the diagonal contribution, to recover the two-body T matrix, we perform the usual change of variables of unit Jacobian, that introduces the relative wave vector $\mathbf{k}_{34}$ and the center-of-mass wave vector $\mathbf{K}_{34} = \mathbf{k}_3 + \mathbf{k}_4$ of the extra particle of index 3 and one fermion of index 4:

$$\mathbf{k}_3 = \frac{1}{1+\alpha} \mathbf{K}_{34} - \mathbf{k}_{34} \quad (81)$$

$$\mathbf{k}_4 = \frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha} \mathbf{K}_{34} + \mathbf{k}_{34}. \quad (82)$$

Then, from the identity $(1+\alpha)/m = 1/\mu$, we obtain the simple relation

$$\frac{(2\pi)^3 \delta(\sum_{n=1}^4 \mathbf{k}_n)}{E - (\alpha E_{\mathbf{k}_3} + \sum_{n \neq 3} E_{\mathbf{k}_n})} = \frac{(2\pi)^3 \delta(\mathbf{K}_{34} + \mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2)}{E_{\text{rel}}(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2) - \hbar^2 k_{34}^2 / (2\mu)}. \quad (83)$$

Finally, the integral equation for D in the three fermions plus one extra particle problem is

$$0 = \frac{\mu D(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2)}{2\pi\hbar^2 f[E_{\text{rel}}(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2)]} - \int \frac{d^3 k_3}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d^3 k_4}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{(2\pi)^3 \delta(\sum_{n=1}^4 \mathbf{k}_n)}{E - (\alpha E_{\mathbf{k}_3} + \sum_{n \neq 3} E_{\mathbf{k}_n})} \chi(\mathbf{k}_{34}) [\chi(\mathbf{k}_{31}) D(\mathbf{k}_4, \mathbf{k}_2) + \chi(\mathbf{k}_{32}) D(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_4)], \quad (84)$$

where the function $f(E)$ is the fermionic one given by Eq. (68). The integral equation (84) is the main result of this section. It has to be solved with a fermionic exchange symmetry for D , $D(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2) = -D(\mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_1)$.

An instructive limiting case is to take the zero-range limit $b \rightarrow 0$ in Eq. (84), assuming that a_{bg} and R_* are $O(b)$ whereas the scattering length a is fixed. Then the scattering amplitude of the model tends to the usual zero-range expression

$$f_k \rightarrow -\frac{1}{\frac{1}{a} + ik}. \quad (85)$$

In Eq. (84) one replaces the function χ with unity, and one performs the integral over the extra particle momentum $\mathbf{k}_3$. Setting $E = -\hbar^2 q^2/(2\mu)$, $q \geq 0$, one obtains the integral equation for the zero-range Bethe-Peierls model for three same spin state fermions and one extra particle:

$$\left\{ \left[q^2 + \frac{1+2\alpha}{(1+\alpha)^2} (k_1^2 + k_2^2) + \frac{2\alpha}{(1+\alpha)^2} \mathbf{k}_1 \cdot \mathbf{k}_2 \right]^{1/2} - \frac{1}{a} \right\} D(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2) + \int \frac{d^3 k_4}{2\pi^2} \frac{D(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_4) + D(\mathbf{k}_4, \mathbf{k}_2)}{q^2 + k_1^2 + k_2^2 + k_4^2 + \frac{2\alpha}{1+\alpha} (\mathbf{k}_1 \cdot \mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{k}_1 \cdot \mathbf{k}_4 + \mathbf{k}_2 \cdot \mathbf{k}_4)} = 0. \quad (86)$$

This differs from the integral equation derived in [24] by numerical constants [44]. The zero energy $q \rightarrow 0$ and unitary $1/a = 0$ limits of Eq. (86) were recently used in [34] to study the emergence of a pure four-body Efimov effect (without three-body Efimov effect) in the system of three fermions plus one extra particle, as a function of the mass ratio α .

IV. TAKING ADVANTAGE OF ROTATIONAL INVARIANCE

In practice, integral equations such as Eqs. (50,84) are heavy to solve numerically because the unknown function *a priori* depends on 6 real variables. A significant reduction of the problem can be achieved by using the rotational symmetry of the Hamiltonian. This rotational symmetry implies the existence of degenerate eigenenergy subspaces of well defined total angular momentum l . Such a degenerate subspace is associated to an irreducible representation of the $SO(3)$ rotation group of spin l , and it is generated in Dirac's notation by $2l+1$ functions $|l, m_l\rangle$, with $|l, m_l\rangle$ of angular momentum $m_l\hbar$ along the arbitrary quantization axis z . The general solution $D(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2)$ is a linear superposition of the particular solutions

$$D_{m_l}(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2) \equiv \langle \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2 | l, m_l \rangle. \quad (87)$$

In this section we show that a general ansatz for $D_0(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2)$ may be obtained in terms of $2l+1$ unknown functions of the moduli k_1, k_2 of $\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2$, and of the angle $\theta \in [0, \pi]$ between $\mathbf{k}_1$ and $\mathbf{k}_2$. We also consider constraints on these $2l+1$ functions imposed by the invariance by parity, and by the exchange (bosonic or fermionic) symmetry of $D(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2)$.

A. Ansatz for an angular momentum l

Consider a general rotation $\mathcal{R}$ of $SO(3)$. In the functional space in which $D(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2)$ lives, it is represented by the unitary operator R , $R^{-1} = R^\dagger$, such that

$$R|\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2\rangle = |\mathcal{R}\mathbf{k}_1, \mathcal{R}\mathbf{k}_2\rangle. \quad (88)$$

Within the degenerate eigenenergy subspace of angular momentum l , the matrix elements of R form the unitary matrix ρ of the irreducible representation of $\mathcal{R}$ of spin l :

$$\langle l, m_l | R | l, m'_l \rangle = \rho_{m_l m'_l}. \quad (89)$$

This matrix ρ is well known from group theory, where it is expressed by means of the Euler representation of $\mathcal{R}$ [40]:

$$\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}_z(\delta_1)\mathcal{R}_y(\delta_2)\mathcal{R}_z(\delta_3), \quad (90)$$

with $\delta_2 \in [0, \pi]$, $0 \leq \delta_1, \delta_3 < 2\pi$, and $\mathcal{R}_\nu(\phi)$ in $SO(3)$ is the rotation of angle ϕ around axis ν , $\nu = x, y, z$.

A well-known fundamental relation is then

$$\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{R}\mathbf{k}_1, \mathcal{R}\mathbf{k}_2) = \rho^* \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2) = {}^t\rho^{-1} \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2) \quad (91)$$

where the spinor $\mathbf{D}$ is the $2l+1$ vector of components D_{m_l} , ρ^* is the complex conjugate of the matrix ρ , ${}^t\rho$ is its transpose, ρ^{-1} its inverse [45]. For fixed non-zero wave vectors $\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2$, one then choose the matrix $\mathcal{R}$ such that

$$\mathcal{R}\mathbf{k}_1 = k_1 \mathbf{e}_x \quad (92)$$

$$\mathcal{R}\mathbf{k}_2 = k_2(\cos\theta \mathbf{e}_x + \sin\theta \mathbf{e}_y), \quad (93)$$

where θ is the non-oriented angle between $\mathbf{k}_1$ and $\mathbf{k}_2$,

$$\cos\theta = \frac{\mathbf{k}_1 \cdot \mathbf{k}_2}{k_1 k_2}, \quad \theta \in [0, \pi], \quad (94)$$

and $\mathbf{e}_\nu$ is the unit vector along axis ν , $\nu = x, y, z$. This choice, together with the fact that $\mathcal{R}$ is a symmetric matrix of determinant unity, implies

$$\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{k}_1 \wedge \mathbf{k}_2) = \|\mathbf{k}_1 \wedge \mathbf{k}_2\| \mathbf{e}_z. \quad (95)$$

Remarkably, from Eq. (91) we see that the spinor $\mathbf{D}$ for arbitrary $\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2$ is totally determined if one knows the spinor $\mathbf{f}^{(l)}$ which is a function of three real variables only,

$$\mathbf{f}^{(l)}(k_1, k_2, \theta) \equiv \mathbf{D}[k_1 \mathbf{e}_x, k_2(\cos\theta \mathbf{e}_x + \sin\theta \mathbf{e}_y)]. \quad (96)$$

Eq. (91) indeed gives

$$\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2) = {}^t\rho \mathbf{f}^{(l)}(k_1, k_2, \theta). \quad (97)$$

To make the ansatz more explicit, we set $D(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2) = D_{m'_l=0}(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2)$ without any loss of generality, so that $D(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2) = \sum_{m_l=-l}^l \rho_{m_l 0} f_{m_l}^{(l)}(k_1, k_2, \theta)$. Using the relation (8.6-1) of [40] we find the expression of the matrix element $\rho_{m_l 0}$ in terms of the spherical harmonics $Y_l^{m_l}(\theta, \phi)$ where θ is the polar angle and ϕ the azimuthal angle. This leads to

$$D(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2) = \left(\frac{4\pi}{2l+1} \right)^{1/2} \sum_{m_l=-l}^l [Y_l^{m_l}(\delta_2, \delta_1)]^* f_{m_l}^{(l)}(k_1, k_2, \theta). \quad (98)$$

The last step is to calculate the angles δ_1 and δ_2 that enter the Euler representation of $\mathcal{R}$. From Eq. (90) it is apparent that δ_1 and δ_2 are the azimuthal and polar angles of the vector $\mathcal{R}\mathbf{e}_z$ in the spherical coordinates of axis z . The Cartesian coordinates (X, Y, Z) of that vector are readily evaluated using $\mathbf{e}_\nu \cdot \mathcal{R}\mathbf{e}_z = \mathbf{e}_z \cdot \mathcal{R}^{-1}\mathbf{e}_\nu$, $\nu = x, y, z$, and the identities Eqs. (92,93,95) after their multiplication by the matrix $\mathcal{R}^{-1}$:

$$X = \sin\delta_2 \cos\delta_1 = \frac{\mathbf{k}_1 \cdot \mathbf{e}_z}{k_1} \quad (99)$$

$$Y = \sin\delta_2 \sin\delta_1 = \left(\frac{\mathbf{k}_2 \cdot \mathbf{e}_z}{k_2} - \cos\theta \frac{\mathbf{k}_1 \cdot \mathbf{e}_z}{k_1} \right) / \sin\theta \quad (100)$$

$$Z = \cos\delta_2 = \mathbf{e}_z \cdot \frac{\mathbf{k}_1 \wedge \mathbf{k}_2}{\|\mathbf{k}_1 \wedge \mathbf{k}_2\|}. \quad (101)$$

We note that, in the most common case $l = 0$, one has $Y_0^0(\theta, \phi) = 1/\sqrt{4\pi}$ so that the general ansatz reduces to the usual form $D(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2) = f_0^{(0)}(k_1, k_2, \theta)$.

B. Inclusion of parity and exchange symmetry

Let us apply the parity operator to the function $D(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2)$. The change $(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2) \rightarrow (-\mathbf{k}_1, -\mathbf{k}_2)$ transforms (X, Y, Z) into $(-X, -Y, Z)$ so that the angle δ_2 is unchanged whereas δ_1 is transformed into $\delta_1 + \pi$ modulo 2π . This changes $Y_l^{m_l}(\delta_2, \delta_1)$ into $(-1)^{m_l} Y_l^{m_l}(\delta_2, \delta_1)$. This allows to decouple the even m_l terms of Eq. (98), that correspond to an even-parity $\eta = +1$ component of D , from the odd m_l terms of Eq. (98), that correspond to an odd-parity $\eta = -1$ component of D . *E.g.* if one considers the ansatz of $l = 1$ with $\eta = +1$, one has $Y_1^0(\theta, \phi) = [3/(4\pi)]^{1/2} \cos \theta$ so that $D(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2) = \mathbf{e}_z \cdot \frac{\mathbf{k}_1 \wedge \mathbf{k}_2}{\|\mathbf{k}_1 \wedge \mathbf{k}_2\|} f_0^{(1)}(k_1, k_2, \theta)$.

Let us now consider the bosonic or fermionic symmetry requirement. Under the exchange $(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2) \rightarrow (\mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_1)$, we find that (X, Y, Z) is transformed into (X', Y', Z') with

$$X' = X \cos \theta + Y \sin \theta \quad (102)$$

$$Y' = X \sin \theta - Y \cos \theta \quad (103)$$

$$Z' = -Z. \quad (104)$$

Then the polar δ'_2 and azimuthal δ'_1 angles of (X', Y', Z') are given by $\delta'_1 = \theta - \delta_1$ modulo 2π and $\delta'_2 = \pi - \delta_2$. From the following properties of the spherical harmonics,

$$Y_l^m(\pi - \theta, \phi + \pi) = (-1)^l Y_l^m(\theta, \phi) \quad (105)$$

$$Y_l^{-m}(\theta, \phi) = (-1)^m Y_l^{m*}(\theta, \phi) \quad (106)$$

$$Y_l^{m*}(\theta, \phi) = Y_l^m(\theta, -\phi), \quad (107)$$

we obtain $Y_l^m(\pi - \delta_2, \theta - \delta_1) = (-1)^l e^{im\theta} Y_l^{-m}(\delta_2, \delta_1)$. The bosonic ($\epsilon = 1$) or fermionic ($\epsilon = -1$) symmetry imposes $D(\mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_1) = \epsilon D(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2)$ so that the unknown functions $f_{m_l}^{(l)}$ in the ansatz must satisfy

$$f_{m_l}^{(l)}(k_2, k_1, \theta) = \epsilon (-1)^l e^{im_l \theta} f_{-m_l}^{(l)}(k_1, k_2, \theta). \quad (108)$$

A more convenient form is obtained by the change of unknown functions,

$$\tilde{f}_{m_l}(k_1, k_2, \theta) \equiv e^{-im_l \theta/2} f_{m_l}(k_1, k_2, \theta). \quad (109)$$

The exchange symmetry then imposes

$$\tilde{f}_{m_l}(k_2, k_1, \theta) = \epsilon (-1)^l \tilde{f}_{-m_l}(k_1, k_2, \theta), \quad (110)$$

which easily allows to restrict the calculation to the domain $k_2 \geq k_1$.

By inserting the general ansatz (98) into the integral equations Eq. (50) or Eq. (84), one may obtain general equations for the $f_{m_l}^{(l)}$. This was done in Ref. [34] in the particular case of the 3+1 fermionic problem with zero-range interactions, infinite scattering length and at zero energy. We shall not pursue this general issue here.

V. CONCLUSION

In the frame of a quite realistic two-channel model for the interaction Hamiltonian close to a magnetic Feshbach resonance, with three physical parameters and a potential range, we have shown that, for two relevant problems in the current few-body physics with cold atoms (four bosons, or three same spin state fermions plus an extra particle) it is possible to derive exactly simple integral equations for an unknown function of six real variables. Furthermore, using the rotational symmetry of the Hamiltonian and its invariance by parity, for an angular momentum l , we have shown that the problem may be reduced to integral equations for l or $l + 1$ unknown functions of 3 real variables only, two wave vector moduli and one angle.

Within the considered model Hamiltonian, this makes the *exact* numerical solution of the four-body problem tractable. We presented elsewhere a first application of this formalism in the limiting case of a zero-range interaction [34]. We expect that other applications will appear, in particular in the regimes where the zero-range limit with no extra three-body parameter is ill-defined, as it is the case for four bosons, or for the 3 + 1 fermionic problem with a fermion to extra particle mass ratio larger than $\simeq 13.607$.

Acknowledgements

The group of Y.C. is a member of IFRAF. The cold atom group of LPTMC is associated with IFRAF. We acknowledge useful discussions with F. Werner, and we thank him for having pointed out the work of Minlos [24].

-
- [1] E. Braaten, H.-W. Hammer, Phys. Rep. **428**, 259 (2006).
 - [2] T. Kraemer, M. Mark, P. Waldburger, J. G. Danzl, C. Chin, B. Engeser, A. D. Lange, K. Pilch, A. Jaakkola, H.-C. Nägerl, R. Grimm, Nature **440**, 315 (2006); M. Zaccanti, B. Deissler, C. D'Errico, M. Fattori, M. Jona-Lasinio, S. Müller, G. Roati, M. Inguscio and G. Modugno, Nature Physics **5**, 586 (2009); N. Gross, Z. Shotan, S. Kokkelmans, L. Khaykovich, Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 163202 (2009) and Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 103203 (2010); S. E. Pollack, D. Dries, R.G. Hulet, Science **326**, 1683 (2009); T. Lompe, T. B. Ottenstein, F. Serwane, A. N. Wenz, G. Zürn, S. Jochim, Science **330**, 940 (2010).
 - [3] C. Chin, R. Grimm, P. Julienne, and E. Tiesinga, Rev. Mod. Phys. **82**, 1225 (2010).
 - [4] G. V. Skorniakov and K. A. Ter-Martirosian, Sov. Phys. JETP **4**, 648 (1957).
 - [5] G.S. Danilov Sov. Phys. JETP **13**, 349 (1961).
 - [6] V. Efimov, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **12**, 589 (1971); V. Efimov, Nucl. Phys. A **210**, 157 (1973); A. Bulgac, V. Efimov, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **22**, 296 (1975).
 - [7] E. Wigner, Zeits. f. Physik **83**, 253 (1933).
 - [8] H. Bethe and R. Peierls, Proc. R. Soc. London, Ser. A **148**, 146 (1935).
 - [9] V. Efimov, Phys. Rev. C **47**, 1876 (1993).
 - [10] D.S. Petrov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 143201 (2004).
 - [11] L. Pricoupenko, Phys. Rev. A **73**, 012701 (2006).
 - [12] E. Timmermans, P. Tommasini, M. Hussein, A. Kerman, Phys. Rep. **315**, 199 (1999).
 - [13] M. Holland, S.J.J.M.F. Kokkelmans, M.L. Chiofalo, and R. Walser, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 120406 (2001); J.N. Milstein, S.J.J.M.F. Kokkelmans, M.J. Holland, Phys. Rev. A **66**, 043604 (2002).
 - [14] K. Góral, T. Köhler, S. A. Gardiner, E. Tiesinga, and P. S. Julienne, J. Phys. B **37**, 3457 (2004); M. H. Szymańska, K. Góral, T. Köhler, K. Burnett, Phys. Rev. A **72**, 013610 (2005).
 - [15] T. Köhler, K. Góral, and P. Julienne, Rev. Mod. Phys. **78**, 1311 (2006).
 - [16] M. Lee, T. Köhler, P. Julienne, Phys. Rev. A **76**, 012720 (2007).
 - [17] V. Gurarie, L. Radzihovsky, Annals of Physics **322**, 2 (2007).
 - [18] M. Jona-Lasinio, L. Pricoupenko and Y. Castin, Phys. Rev. A **77**, 043611 (2008).
 - [19] A. Gogolin, C. Mora, R. Egger, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 140404 (2008).
 - [20] B. Marcellis, B. Verhaar, and S. Kokkelmans, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 153201 (2008).
 - [21] B. Marcellis, E. G. M. van Kempen, B. J. Verhaar, and S. J. J. M. F. Kokkelmans, Phys. Rev. A **70**, 012701 (2004).
 - [22] P. Massignan, and H.T.C. Stoof, Phys. Rev. A **78**, 030701(R) (2008); L. Pricoupenko, Phys. Rev. A **82**, 043633 (2010).
 - [23] M. Jona-Lasinio, L. Pricoupenko, Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 023201 (2010).
 - [24] R. Minlos, “On pointlike interaction between n fermions and another particle”, Proceedings of the Workshop on Singular Schrödinger Operators, Trieste, 29 September-1 October 1994, Eds. G.F. Dell’Antonio, R. Figari, and A. Teta, SISSA (Trieste, 1995).
 - [25] D.S. Petrov, C. Salomon, G. Shlyapnikov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 090404 (2004).
 - [26] D. Blume, J. von Stecher, and Chris H. Greene, Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 233201 (2007); J. von Stecher, Chris H. Greene, and D. Blume, Phys. Rev. A **77**, 043619 (2008); J. von Stecher and Chris H. Greene, Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 090402 (2007).
 - [27] S. Y. Chang and G. F. Bertsch, Phys. Rev. A **76**, 021603(R) (2007).
 - [28] D. Blume, Phys. Rev. A **78**, 013613 (2008); D. Blume, K. M. Daily, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 170403 (2010).
 - [29] J. von Stecher, J.P. D’Incao, C.H. Greene, Nature Physics **5**, 417 (2009).
 - [30] A. Nogga, H. Kamada, and W. Glöckle, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 944 (2000).
 - [31] A. Deltuva and A. C. Fonseca, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 162502 (2007).
 - [32] H.-W. Hammer, L. Platter, Eur. Phys. J. A **32**, 113 (2007).
 - [33] A. Deltuva, arXiv:1009.1295 (2010).
 - [34] Y. Castin, C. Mora, L. Pricoupenko, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 223201 (2010).
 - [35] F. Werner, L. Tarruell, and Y. Castin, Eur. Phys. J. B **68**, 401 (2009).
 - [36] A. J. Moerdijk, B. J. Verhaar, and A. Axelsson, Phys. Rev. A **51**, 4852 (1995).
 - [37] B. Gao, Phys. Rev. A **58**, 1728 (1998) and J. Phys. B **37**, 4273 (2004).
 - [38] G.F. Gribakin and V.V. Flambaum, Phys. Rev. A **48**, 546 (1993); V.V. Flambaum, G. F. Gribakin, and C. Harabti, Phys. Rev. A **59**, 1998 (1999).
 - [39] P. Nozières, and S. Schmitt-Rink, J. Low Temp. Phys. **59**, 195 (1985).
 - [40] Wu-Ki Tung, “Group Theory in Physics”, World Scientific (Singapore, 1985).
 - [41] E.g. at infinite scattering length $1/a = 0$ and in the zero true range limit $b \rightarrow 0$, the modified Bethe-Peierls model accurately reproduces the two-channel model scattering amplitude f_k of Eq.(17) over the physically relevant momentum range $k \lesssim k_{\text{typ}}$ iff $|ik - \frac{1}{2}k^2 r_e + 1/f_k| \ll |ik - \frac{1}{2}k^2 r_e| \quad \forall k \in [0, k_{\text{typ}}]$, with $r_e = -2R_*$. This is satisfied iff $\sup_{k \in [0, k_{\text{typ}}]} kR_* |1 - 1/(1 +$

$k^2 a_{\text{bg}} R_*) / |i + k R_*| \ll 1$. If $a_{\text{bg}} = O(b) \rightarrow 0$, the supremum is zero. If a_{bg} is so large and negative that $k_{\text{typ}}(-a_{\text{bg}} R_*)^{1/2} > 1$, the supremum is infinite and the condition is violated.

- [42] As a reminder, the solution of a free space problem involves two aspects, Schrödinger's equation itself and boundary conditions that one must impose on the solution to recover the right physics. Implementing these boundary conditions is performed at two levels: (i) in the derivation of integral equations from Schrödinger's equation, when one divides by an energy difference $E - E_{\text{free}}$, where E_{free} is usually the unperturbed energy of some configuration, e.g. plane waves, one has to be careful if $E - E_{\text{free}}$ can be zero, in which case the resulting vanishing denominators have to be regularized by the inclusion of a vanishing, usually positive imaginary part, which amounts to replacing E with $E + i0^+$; this division by a vanishing quantity also means that an additive free wave solution has to be included, that corresponds physically to an incoming wave. (ii) Even if all energy denominators $E - E_{\text{free}}$ are strictly negative, as it is the case in this paper, one in general still has to choose an ansatz for the unknown functions [here the functions A, B, C] that may be the sum of an incoming bit and of a scattered bit. E.g. the scattering of two dimers of opposite incoming wavevectors $\pm \mathbf{k}_0$ may take place at total negative energy, so that the integral equations derived here strictly hold [level (i) may be skipped], but still ansatz have to be given, $C(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{1}{2}(2\pi)^3 [\delta(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}_0) + \delta(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k}_0)] p_{\text{closed}} + C_{\text{scatt}}(\mathbf{k})$, where p_{closed} is the probability for a dimer to be in the closed channel and $C_{\text{scatt}}(\mathbf{k})$ is the scattered wave contribution, etc.
- [43] One can distinguish three types of permutations. Type one includes four permutations: It corresponds to $\sigma\{1, 2\} = \{3, 4\}$ (which implies $\sigma\{3, 4\} = \{1, 2\}$). These four permutations give equal contributions. Type two also includes four permutations: It corresponds to $\sigma\{1, 2\} = \{1, 2\}$ (which implies $\sigma\{3, 4\} = \{3, 4\}$). These four permutations give equal contributions. The third type includes sixteen permutations: It corresponds to the cases where there exists a unique $i \in \{1, 2\}$ such that $\sigma(i) \in \{3, 4\}$ (which implies that there exists a unique $j \in \{3, 4\}$ such that $\sigma(j) \in \{1, 2\}$). One can reduce to the case $\sigma(i) = 3$, exchanging the integration variables $\mathbf{k}_3$ and $\mathbf{k}_4$ if necessary. Let i' be the element of $\{1, 2\}$ different from i . Then two cases remain to be distinguished, the case $\sigma(i') = 1$ and the case $\sigma(i') = 2$, which give different contributions.
- [44] In particular, comparison of Eqs. (10,12) of [24] indicates that a square is missing in the factor π of Eq. (10) in [24].
- [45] We recall that Eq. (91) may be derived by calculating $\langle \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2 | R^\dagger | l, m_l \rangle$ in two different ways. First, we take $R^\dagger$ to act on the left and we use the definitions (88) and (87), so that $\langle \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2 | R^\dagger | l, m_l \rangle = D_{m_l}(\mathcal{R}\mathbf{k}_1, \mathcal{R}\mathbf{k}_2)$. Second, we insert the closure relation $\sum_{m'_l} |l, m'_l\rangle \langle l, m'_l|$ to the left of $R^\dagger$ in the quantity $\langle \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2 | R^\dagger | l, m_l \rangle$, and we use Eq. (89).